STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

(Section 9600 of the Elections Code requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument).

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the Rebuttal					al	argument	IN FAVOR	
	Ū	,	` ,		OR REBUTTAL)		(IN FAVOR OR AGAINST)	
ballot measure		2	at the	Rancho Mirage	e General Electi	ion		
	(LETTER)			(TITL	(TITLE OF ELECTION)			
for the _					held on	eld on April 12, 2016		
	(DISTRICT OR JURISDICTION)					(DATE)		
hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of						Their	knowledge and belief.	
		, a o , , a , g =	20 42 0000			IER/THEIR)	Thomosgo and Donon	
					•	•		
						01011471	ne.	
PROPU	NENI	S/AUTHOR'S N	IAME:			SIGNATU	KE:	
1.								
1.		ueders, Vice Presid	ent					
	Friend	s of CV Link						
		PRINTED NAME (TI	TLE OPTIONAL)			/ SIGN	January 11, 2016	
		ADDRE	SS		(F	PIONE)	DATE	
					``	1.4,		
2.	l arny l	Parrish, Retired CE	n					
		side County	,					
***************************************		PRINTED NAME (TI	TLE OPTIONAL)			\ SIGN	ATURE U	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			(5.2.2	January 11, 2016	
***************************************		ADDRE	SS		(F	HONE)	DATE	
3.								
J.		rt Brockman, Forme ho Mirage Coummu		ent Director				
	Nanc							
		PRINTED NAME (TI	TLE OPTIONAL)			SIGNA	January 11, 2016	
	-	ADDRE	SS		(P	PHONE)	DATE	
		7.551.5			٠.		,	
4.	David	d Firestone						
	Dese	ert Bicycle Club Me	ember					
***************************************		PRINTED NAME (TI	TLE OPTIONAL)			SIGNA		
							January 11, 2016	
		ADDRE	SS		(P	HONE)	DATE	
5.	_	0 11 0 11 10						
		Snoble, Retired CE		ortation Authorit	v			
***************************************	LU3 A	PRINTED NAME (TI		ortation / dirion	y 	Ś	NTURE TO S	
		PRINTED NAME (II	TLE OF HONAL)			SIGNA	January 145 2016	
-		ADDRE	ss		(P	HONE)	DATE	
							الموسد الموسد	
							25 -0	
							PM 3: 0	
							ည့္တေပ်	

Measure 2

The City's argument states that "the City Council seeks your views." But the Council did not ask for our opinions before they held a closed-door meeting to decide to oppose CV Link. They didn't poll voters before they decided to oppose any feasible routes. They certainly didn't ask us what we thought about diverting city resources away from other projects so they could challenge CV Link.

Groups like the Greater Palm Springs Convention & Visitors Bureau have urged our Council to support CV Link, saying "an attractive, automobile-free pathway" would create jobs, boost tourism and "provide an important boost to the local economy."

The California Strategic Growth Council, Riverside County Park and Open Space District, Desert Healthcare District, South Coast Air Quality Management District, California Active Transportation Program and Caltrans have committed more than \$75 million to pay for the construction of CV Link. These grants recognize the positive impact CV Link will have on safety, air quality, public health and transportation.

There are now more than 2 dozen <u>options</u> to cover future O/M costs. Most do not include the city paying anything.

If we don't use this outside grant money on CV Link, we will lose out on an incredible opportunity to provide a safe route for those who walk, bike, jog or ride a NEV.

VOTE YES on Measure 2.

Gary Lueders
Vice President, Friends of CV Link

Larry Parrish
Retired CEO Riverside County

Robert Brockman
Former Rancho Mirage Community Development Director

David Firestone

Desert Bicycle Club Member

Roger Snoble

Retired CEO Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority